### **Epileptiform Patterns on Scalp EEG and MEG: Physiologic Basis and Principles of Localization**





#### Richard C. Burgess, MD, PhD

### **Epileptiform Patterns on Scalp EEG and MEG: Physiologic Basis and Principles of Localization**

#### **OVERALL OBJECTIVES**

- Understand the physiologic basis of the neurophysiological signals recorded from the brain
- Appreciate the basic physics and technical principles underlyng the recording instrumentation
- Become familiar with the fundamentals of source localization
- Understand the advantages and disadvantages of EEG and MEG for source localization



### Richard C. Burgess, MD, PhD

## Definitions

- Electroencephalography EEG, recording of the brain's electrical activity
- Magnetoencephalography MEG, recording of the brain's magnetic activity
- Source Imaging pinpointing the location of the brain activity in three-dimensional space
   – ESI: electrical source imaging
   – MSI: magnetic source imaging
   These two are neurophysiological techniques; they are not imaging methods, despite the names !

## From where do the EEG and MEG signals originate?



**Richard Caton** 





Hans Berger

## What is EEG?

- The EEG is a measure of cerebral electrical activity in the cortex
- The summated post-synaptic potentials are the main generators of the recorded scalp EEG
- The electrical potentials reach the surface through volume conduction, a process of:
  - Origination,
  - Spread through a conductive medium, and

Pickup by a distant \_\_\_\_ recording electrode.

Fp1-F7	I warmen war a second warmen warmen warmen and a second warmen warme				
F7-T7	I she was a superior and the second s				
T7-P7	I now white the second se				
P7-01	I www.www.WWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW				
Fp2-F8	I summy more a second with the second				
F8-T8	I มาณาแหน่งมากการและและเหน่างมากการและและและและและและและและและและและเหน่างการและการและและ				
T8-P8	I of a manuscription of the second of the se				
P8-02	I www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.ww				
Fp1-F3	I manufalle and the second sec				
F3-C3	I man				
СЗ-РЗ	I manufacture and the second s				
P3-01	I AM MANY AND A MANY AND A MANY AND A MANY AND				
Fp2-F4	I market and the second and the second of th				
F4-C4	I a manual many many many many many many many many				
C4-P4	I ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
P4-02	I www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.www.ww				
Fz-Cz	I meren warmen wa				
Cz-Pz	I manuful May May Manuful Manu				
EVE 1-EKC5 I - MANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANANAN					
Phot1-Pho	btj2				

FCZ

FC5

F11 SP1 FT11

CZ

POZ

oz

PO7

## What is MEG?

 Based on recording of incredibly small magnetic fields (10<sup>-12</sup> T).

 Easy acquisition of very high density (100-300 channels) wideband (DC – 2000 Hz) recordings of currents within the brain.



## What is MEG? MEG is a Localization Tool

 Based on solid theoretical reasons, MEG has localization accuracy better than scalp EEG

- Greater number of sensors typically employed
- Simpler source modeling and calculation
- Anatomical co-registration with 3D-MRI





## **EEG** and MEG Waveforms





### Origin of signals recorded by EEG and MEG:

Main source is current flow from long apical dendrites of cortical pyramidal cells which are created from:

- 1. Gray matter synaptic potentials
- 2. Propagated action potentials in white matter fiber tracts (minimal contribution)

## Consist primarily of: Extracellular current resulting from summated IPSPs and EPSPs

Excitatory Postsynaptic Potential (EPSP)



## Pyramidal neuron excitation

Depolarization

 Intracellular current
 Extracellular current

- Excitatory synapse are *distal*.
- Positive ions flow inward.
- Induces current flow towards the soma.
- Measured extracellularly as negative.



Part of illustration adapted from Hansen PC, Kringelbach ML, Salmelin R (eds). MEG: An Introduction to Methods.

Inhibitory Postsynaptic Potential (IPSP)



## Pyramidal neuron inhibition

- Intracellular current
- Extracellular
   current
- Inhibitory synapses are proximal.
- Negative ions flow inward.
- Induces current flow towards the soma.
- Measures positive extracellularly down at the synapse, but this is also negative at the surface.

**IPSP Hyperpolarization** 

Part of illustration adapted from Hansen PC, Kringelbach ML, Salmelin R (eds). MEG: An Introduction to Methods.

## Synaptic Summary



## Non-Invasive recording of PSPs

#### • Tiny signals:

- Signal from a single post-synaptic potential (PSP) lasts ~10 msec.
- Too brief and too small to be detected noninvasively.

#### Net effect of summated activity:

- Thousands of adjacent pyramidal neurons.
- Activated within more or less the same time window.

## **EEG** and MEG Signals

### A current dipole creates a magnetic field





# Pyramidal Cells Act as Sheets of Dipoles in the Cortex



Cortical macrocolumn of diameter 3-4 mm contains:10<sup>6</sup> neurons,10<sup>10</sup> synapses.

## Presurgical Epilepsy Evaluation

#### Goals

- Locate the epileptogenic zone.
- Assess whether it *can* be resected to achieve seizure freedom.

OR

#### • Multi-modality investigative tools:

- Video-EEG monitoring +/- sphenoidals
- MRI
- PET/SPECT
- Neuropsychology
- Wada/fMRI
- EEG and/or MEG source localization
- Invasive Monitoring

#### Additional hopes for source localization:

- Avoidance of invasive recording if possible
- Better placement of invasive electrodes

# What is the Electroencephalographer or Magnetoencephalographer's Task?

#### Examining the surface evidence of electrical currents, estimate the:

- Magnitude,
- Location, and
- Distribution



Of the underlying source(s) producing the recorded field.

## Topographic Mapping: Amplitude vs Time



# Source Localization is Based on Computerized *Modeling*

- Source model
  - number
  - type
  - location
- Head model
  - homogeneity
  - number of layers
  - shape



# Models of the Brain Electrical Activity *Sources*

#### Equivalent Current Dipole Model (Simpler)

Examples: Single Equivalent Dipole
 Multiple Signal Classification (мизис)

#### Distributed Model / Current Density Reconstruction Model (Computationally Intensive)

– Examples:

Minimum Norm Estimate

LORETA (Low resolution electromagnetic tomography)

FOCUSS (Focal undetermined system solver)

## Electric fields and magnetic fields generated by a current dipole.





Magnetic fields

Electric fields

## What is an "Equivalent Dipole"?

It is *not* the "centroid" of the electrical activity. (In fact, it is frequently found in white matter below the spiking cortex.)

It is a device which, if it existed, *could* produce the field distribution of the activity under study.

## Dipolar Representation in MEG and EEG

- The signals picked up by scalp electrodes or MEG sensors are generated by synchronized activity from many neurons:
  - Postsynaptic potentials produce intracellular laminar currents
  - Neocortical pyramidal neurons arranged in a palisade structure
  - Typically seen as a current dipole perpendicular to the cortical surface







- Dipolar models are based on the assumption that:
  - Coherent activation of a large number of pyramidal cells can be represented by a point source
  - A small number of current sources (multiple dipoles, sheets of dipoles) in the brain can adequately model surface measurements

## **Current Dipole Model**

#### **Current dipole:**

- Representation of an electric current travelling from to + over a short distance (Ohm's law)
- Has a strength and orientation



### Magnetic Field Produced by a Current Dipole

#### Magnetic fields produced by current dipole:

- Follow the "Right-hand rule":
  - When the right hand thumb points to direction of the current, the fingers curl in the direction of the magnetic fields.

#### – Biot-Savart's law:

- Forward solution
- Field strength decreases in proportion to the square of the distance from the dipole





## Differences Between EEG and MEG in Sensitivity to Current Dipole Orientation

 Scalp EEG is relatively sensitive to vertical dipoles
 MEG is exclusively sensitive to tangential dipoles (neglects the vertical component of the dipole vector)

#### Tangential dipole

Vertical dipole



## Determining the Best Model

 In fact, many sources are not dipolar, but rather are extended or complex.

 Therefore this becomes an even more difficult and elaborate — but educated — estimation, which draws on an extensive body of mathematical work on the inverse problem.

 The solutions are usually constrained in some rational way, therefore the sources found will depend on the assumptions used.

## Source Localization: Linear Models

#### Minimum norm estimation

- Predetermined set of dipoles (usually 1000 to 10,000)
- All have fixed positions and orientations (usually constrained to the cortical mantle
- Calculate a combination of dipole strengths to explain the measured fields.

#### Distributed results

• Looks realistic



#### Requires no initial guess

• No bias by human interpretation



## Models of the *Head*

Sphere Model Concentric spheres (most common) Multiple spheres

Realistic Head Model BEM (boundary element method) FEM (finite element method) Head shape from MRI Image segmentation in 3D

## Head Models

#### **Single Sphere**



#### **Multiple Spheres**



## EEG: Complicated Concentric Sphere Model

#### Layers:

Head consists of series of roughly concentric layers separating brain from scalp surface, each of which presents different electrical characteristics to the currents which conduct the EEG to the surface:

- CSF
- meninges
- bone
- skin s

#### Effects:

- smearing and blurring
- attenuation

#### Model:

3- or 4-layer compartment head model necessary in EEG to take inhomogeneous conductivity into account

## MEG: Simple Single Sphere Model

- MEG requires a simple conductor (head) model and therefore a lower calculation burden than EEG for computerized source modeling.
- Magnetic fields are conveyed transparently through all of the cranial tissues.
- Effects on the magnetic fields of volume conduction and skull defects are negligible or small.

## With models for both the source and the head, we can carry out *source localization*

## Iteratively search possible dipoles for best fit to the actual field distribution





## How Does MEG Recording Work?





## **MEG** Instrumentation

#### SQUID

#### • Flux transformers

- Shielded room
- Head position indicator



## Relative Magnetic Field Strength

BRAIN SOURCES Evoked cortical fields: 10 fT Alpha rhythm: 1000 fT

NOISE SOURCES Earth's magnetic field: ~50 μT Field from home appliances and wiring: <10 μT Urban environmental noise: 10<sup>8</sup> fT

(fT = femto Tesla or 10<sup>-15</sup> Tesla)

## Magnetically Shielded Room

 Passive magnetic shielding using Mu-metal (alloy)

 May employ coils for active noise cancellation



External magnetic field is guided around the boundaries of the magnetically-shielded room





## SQUID & Flux Transformer

Superconducting Quantum Interference Device,

i.e. requires liquid helium

## SQUID Sensors Arrayed Around Head

#### **Planar Gradiometers**





#### **Axial Gradiometers**





### **Gradiometers vs Magnetometers**

- Measuring gradients (relative differences), rather than absolute magnetic field, helps subtract background noise
- Requires two coils oriented to subtract overall global field, with the difference representing <u>local</u> gradients



Tanzer, PhD Thesis, Helsinki

## Source Analysis

Forward problem:

 –Has a unique analytic solution
 –Poisson's equation ∇<sup>2</sup>Φ = -ρ/ε



(von Helmholtz H. Bereinige Gesetze der Vertheilung elektrischer Stroeme in koeperlichen Leitern, mit Anwendung auf die thierisch-elektrischen Versuche. Ann Phys Chem 89:211-33, 353-77. 1853.)

## Source Analysis

• Forward problem: –Has a unique analytic solution –Poisson's equation  $\nabla^2 \Phi = -\rho/\epsilon$ 



# Inverse problem: Has a theoretically infinite number of solutions Impossible to find a unique solution THIS IS THE EEGer or MEGer's ACTUAL PROBLEM

(von Helmholtz H. Bereinige Gesetze der Vertheilung elektrischer Stroeme in koeperlichen Leitern, mit Anwendung auf die thierisch-elektrischen Versuche. Ann Phys Chem 89:211-33, 353-77. 1853.)

## Source Localization Parallels: MEG and EEG

- Both EEG and MEG can employ similar models (e.g. equivalent current source dipole).
- Substrate
  - MEG: 3.5 cm<sup>2</sup>
  - EEG: 6 cm<sup>2</sup> or
    - 20-30 cm<sup>2</sup> depending on location (lateral convexity vs anterior temporal) and consideration of background
- Both can utilize patient-specific anatomic models (CT, MRI).

#### • Similarity

- Record the same phenomenon
  - Same time-resolution
  - Spontaneous activities (epileptic spikes, non-epileptiform physiological) evoked responses (SEP, VEP, AEP)
- Sensitivity to brain volume and depth

#### • Differences

- Sensitivity to the current dipole orientation
  - Tangential or vertical to the scalp surface
- Complexity of the forward mode
  - Feasibility of the computerized source estimation
- Analysis
- MEG is reference-free
- Number of sensors
- Duration of the recording
- Different sensitivity to external noises
- Cost
- Established knowledge

#### • Similarity

- Record the same phenomenon
  - Same time-resolution
  - Spontaneous activities (epileptic spikes, non-epileptiform physiological), evoked responses (SEP, VEP, AEP)
- Sensitivity to brain volume and depth

#### Differences

- Sensitivity to the current dipole orientation
  - Tangential or vertical to the scalp surface
- Complexity of the forward mode
  - Feasibility of the computerized source estimation
- Analysis
- MEG is reference-free
- Number of sensors
- Duration of the recording
- Different sensitivity to external noises
- Cost
- Established knowledge

#### • Similarity

- Record the same phenomenon
  - Same time-resolution
  - Spontaneous activities (epileptic spikes, non-epileptiform physiological), evoked responses (SEP, VEP, AEP)
- Sensitivity to brain volume and depth
- Differences
  - Sensitivity to the current dipole orientation
    - Tangential or vertical to the scalp surface
  - Complexity of the forward model
    - Feasibility of the computerized source estimation
  - Analysis
  - MEG is reference-free
  - Number of sensors
  - Duration of the recording
  - Different sensitivity to external noises
  - Cost
  - Established knowledge

#### Similarity

- Record the same phenomenon
  - Same time-resolution
  - Spontaneous activities (epileptic spikes, non-epileptiform physiological), evoked responses (SEP, VEP, AEP)
- Sensitivity to brain volume and depth
- Differences
  - Sensitivity to the current dipole orientation
    - Tangential or vertical to the scalp surface
  - Complexity of the forward model
    - Feasibility of the computerized source estimation
  - Analysis
  - MEG is reference-free
  - Number of sensors
  - Duration of the recording
  - Different sensitivity to external noises
  - Cost
  - Established knowledge

## Advantages of MEG

- **1.** Inherently higher source resolution and accuracy.
- 2. Better detection yield.
- **3.** Reference free.
- 4. Signals not attenuated or distorted by bone and scalp, or other inhomogeneities that exist between brain and surface.
- 5. Therefore for source analysis, the head modelling problem is significantly simpler.
- 6. Easy to obtain multichannel, whole-head, high spatial-density recordings.
- 7. No exposure to radiation, magnetic field, or other active device.

## **Source Localization Accuracy**

#### What is clinically acceptable?

 In 1997, Baillet recommended a spatial accuracy of better than 5 mm.

#### Source localization accuracy is higher for MEG than for EEG.

Authors	Year	EEG	MEG
Cohen D and Cuffin BN <sup>2</sup>	1991	10 mm	8 mm
Leahy RM, Mosher JC, Spencer ME, Huang MX, and Lewine JD <sup>3</sup>	1998	7-8 mm	3 mm

- <sup>1</sup> A Bayesian Approach to Introducing Anatomo-Functional Priors in the EEG/MEG Inverse Problem. Baillet S, Garnero L: IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering 1997, 44(5):374-385.
- <sup>2</sup> EEG versus MEG localization accuracy: Theory and experiment Brain Topography Springer Netherlands Vol 4, No 2; December 1991.
- <sup>3</sup> A study of dipole localization accuracy for MEG and EEG using a human skull phantom. Electroencephalogr Clin Neurophysiol 1998;107:159-173.

## MEG Sensitivity: Geographic detection probability



(Adapted from Hillebrand A and Barnes GR, NeuroImage 16, 638-650, 2002)

## MEG Sensitivity: Required source strength

Source strength needed for Detection Probability of 70%





(Adapted from Hillebrand A and Barnes GR, NeuroImage 16, 638-650, 2002)

## What do we know about MEG in Epilepsy?

• The <u>yield</u> of MEG is higher than scalp EEG.

- (Yoshinaga et al, 2002; Iwasaki et al, 2005;
   Ossenblok et al, 2007; Goldenholz et al, 2009)
- MEG provides <u>additional</u> localizing information.
  - (Wheless et al, 1999; Stefan et al, 2003; Pataraia et al, 2004)
- MEG results <u>change</u> the electrode coverage decisions for intracranial EEG.
  - (Knowlton et al, 2009)

## **ACMEGS** Clinical Practice Guidelines

Burgess, Richard C.; Barkley, Gregory L.; Bagic, Anto I.; Turning a New Page in Clinical Magnetoencephalography: Practicing According to the First Clinical Practice Guidelines. Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology. 28(4):339-340, August 2011.



Bagic, Anto I.; Knowlton, Robert C.; Rose, Douglas F.; Ebersole, John S.; American Clinical Magnetoencephalography Society Clinical Practice Guideline 1: Recording and Analysis of Spontaneous Cerebral Activity. Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology. 28(4):348-354, August 2011.

Burgess, Richard C.; Funke, Michael E.; Bowyer, Susan M.; Lewine, Jeffrey D.; Kirsch, Heidi E.; Bagic, Anto I.; American Clinical Magnetoencephalography Society Clinical Practice Guideline 2: Presurgical Functional Brain Mapping Using Magnetic Evoked Fields. Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology. 28(4):355-361, August 2011.

Bagic, Anto I.; Knowlton, Robert C.; Rose, Douglas F.; Ebersole, John S.; American Clinical Magnetoencephalography Society Clinical Practice Guideline 3: MEG–EEG Reporting. Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology. 28(4):362-365, August 2011.1

Bagic, Anto I.; Barkley, Gregory L.; Rose, Douglas F.; Ebersole, John S.; American Clinical Magnetoencephalography Society Clinical Practice Guideline 4: Qualifications of MEG–EEG Personnel. Journal of Clinical Neurophysiology. 28(4):364-365, August 2011.

## What Should the Referring Physician Expect from a MEG Report?

Propagation of Polyspike Activity to Left Parietal Lobe

Left basal temporo-occpital



## Example Waveforms Showing the EEG Correlate to the MEG Discharge **EEG dipole #1: Spike regional right posterior quadrant**



## MEG dipole #1: Poly spikes maximum in the right temporal > occipital sensors

#### 1 sec 500 fT/cm

#### **Right tempora**



#### **Right occipital**



#### Summary Slide Showing Epileptic and Functional Results

#### and interictal dipoles with SEF and MEF dipoles





#### Magnetoencephalography Lab CCF Epilepsy Center, Neurological Institute